EXHIBIT I

Exhibit 25

From: Stuart Breslow <bre> <bre> <bre> <bre> descome <bre> < To: Tarig Shaukat <tshaukat@google.com> Sent: Tue, 30 Oct 2018 10:52:38 -0400

Subject: Re: PgM JD-Please read but no action required

Tariq,

Thanks, no need to chase down the leveling--I have that in hand. Likely will want to run the ultimate candidate by you as he/she will be someone who will from time to time interact/present to you.

Stuart



Stuart Breslow breslows@google.com o. 212 565 6431 c. 201 906 3303 Managing Director Technology and Policy

On Tue, Oct 30, 2018 at 10:22 AM Tariq Shaukat < tshaukat@google.com > wrote:

Ok. I will check on the ap piece - I could have sworn it was 17. We need great people, so if he does well in the interviews maybe I should meet him and can make the call on leveling. Τ

On Tue, Oct 30, 2018, 06:30 Stuart Breslow < breslows@google.com wrote:

Tariq.

Thanks. People Ops has worn me down on this and convinced me that they have historically brought McKinsey APs in as at L6, that the comp will be competitive+ and that there is no way the various hiring committees would approve this role as an L7 (and that even an L6 is a stretch). I've connected Julie and the McKinsey AP, for a conversation to see how that plays. In the end, I think is terrific and would be a home run for Google but there are lots of other great people out there too so I am not totally wedded to him. I expect there will be other times when I'll really have to push so I'll let this one play out. Best.

Stuart



Stuart Breslow breslows@google.com o. 212 565 6431 c. 201 906 3303 Managing Director Technology and Policy

CONFIDENTIAL GOOG-ROWE-00059824 On Mon, Oct 29, 2018 at 7:07 PM Tariq Shaukat <tshaukat@google.com> wrote:

So it goes like this typically:

- 1) what level is the role scoped for
- 2) is the person qualified for that role

So, as long as we agree that the role is scoped for L7, and we interview him and a panel says he is qualified for the role, we should be ok. So I'd probably just put out the job description calibrated by Kevin L as an L7, and then bring him in for interviews.

Tariq

On Mon, Oct 29, 2018 at 6:53 AM Stuart Breslow < breslows@google.com > wrote:

He hasn't interviewed; I'm trying to figure out as a Noogler if this is a runner (or a non-starter) before setting the interview process in motion. It's been a challenge to sort that relatively simple question which is concerning. I have a call with Julie later this morning and will engage you thereafter as appropriate.

Any perspective/feedback on the proposal on AML? I am scheduling a call with Suzi on collateral--she's got it on for Wednesday, I am going to see if she connect today.



Stuart Breslow breslows@google.com o. 212 565 6431 c. 201 906 3303 Managing Director Technology and Policy

On Sun, Oct 28, 2018 at 12:29 PM Tariq Shaukat < tshaukat@google.com > wrote:

Has he interviewed yet?

On Sat, Oct 27, 2018 at 3:23 PM Stuart Breslow breslows@google.com> wrote:

Let me know what you think the right way to play this is. At every turn I've had People Ops telling me that I don't know how this is done at Google....I think is a terrific candidate who will really drive these projects forward and will face off well with senior Googlers including you.

CONFIDENTIAL GOOG-ROWE-00059825



Stuart Breslow <u>breslows@google.com</u> o. 212 565 6431 c. 201 906 3303 Managing Director Technology and Policy

On Sat, Oct 27, 2018 at 1:59 PM Tariq Shaukat < tshaukat@google.com > wrote:

I think Julie is looking at this wrong - we normally do level mapping vs. years of experience mapping when we're looking at this sort of thing - typically a principal comes in at a 8, a director at a 9, and an AP at a 7. I'm happy to weigh in if helpful here.

Tariq

On Sat, Oct 27, 2018 at 6:42 AM Stuart Breslow < breslows@google.com > wrote:

Tariq,

I am forwarding a part of the mail back and forth I have been having with People Ops about the position I am trying to fill to work with me to drive the initiatives we've discussed. (BTW, Julie Samuel has been terrific and patient-the challenge for me has been systemic). I have been speaking with is a 28 year old McKinsey AP-his acceleration to AP means he only has 7 or so years of experience so he can only be a level 5 which puts him at a comp level 2/3's of his current comp. If I identify a lesser performing AP who has taken longer to get there I can presumably pay he/she more. I find it ironic that a company founded by University "kids" uses years of experience as a compensation gate.

Nothing for you to do; there will be future hires that will need a strong push to get through the hiring process. Just thought this was a place to share a Noogler's perspective.

Enjoy the weekend.

Best,

Stuart

----- Forwarded message ------

From: Stuart Breslow < breslows@google.com>

Date: Fri, Oct 26, 2018, 4:58 PM

Subject: Re: PgM JD

To: Julie Samuel < juliesamuel@google.com >

Julie,

I am replying to you only on this one. The McKinsey guy I referred to is

CONFIDENTIAL GOOG-ROWE-00059826